#### Yanhui Wang

joint work with Victoria Gould

York Semigroup

22nd February 2012

(Yanhui Wang)

Strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoids

22nd February 2012 1 / 35

#### An inverse semigroup is called *proper* if $\mathcal{R} \cap \sigma = \iota$ .

Let (X, f) be a presentation of a fixed group G. We construct a category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  as follows:

• The objects of the category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  are the pairs (g, M), where M is a proper inverse monoid and  $g: X \to M$  such that  $\langle Xg \rangle = M$  and



commutes.

An inverse semigroup is called *proper* if  $\mathcal{R} \cap \sigma = \iota$ .

Let (X, f) be a presentation of a fixed group G. We construct a category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  as follows:

• The objects of the category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  are the pairs (g, M), where M is a proper inverse monoid and  $g: X \to M$  such that  $\langle Xg \rangle = M$  and



commutes.

An inverse semigroup is called *proper* if  $\mathcal{R} \cap \sigma = \iota$ .

Let (X, f) be a presentation of a fixed group G. We construct a category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  as follows:

• The objects of the category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  are the pairs (g, M), where M is a proper inverse monoid and  $g: X \to M$  such that  $\langle Xg \rangle = M$  and



commutes.

#### Proper Inverse Monoids

• A morphism in  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  from (g, M) to (h, N) is a morphism  $\theta : M \to N$  such that the diagram



#### commutes.

The category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  is called the *category of X-generated proper inverse* monoids with maximum group image G.

## Proper Inverse Monoids

• A morphism in  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  from (g, M) to (h, N) is a morphism  $\theta : M \to N$  such that the diagram



commutes.

The category  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$  is called the *category of X-generated proper inverse* monoids with maximum group image G.

Fact1: Let (X, f) be a presentation of a group G. Then  $FIM(X)/\tau^*$  is the initial object in  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$ , where

$$\tau = \{u^2 = u, \text{ whenever } u \in FIM(X) \text{ and } uf = 1 \text{ in } G\}$$

#### and $\tau^*$ is the congruence on FIM(X) generated by $\tau$ .

**Fact2**: The monoids  $FIM(X)/\tau^*$  and the graph expansion M(X, f) of G are isomorphic.

Fact1: Let (X, f) be a presentation of a group G. Then  $FIM(X)/\tau^*$  is the initial object in  $\mathbf{E}(X, f)$ , where

$$\tau = \{u^2 = u, \text{ whenever } u \in FIM(X) \text{ and } uf = 1 \text{ in } G\}$$

and  $\tau^*$  is the congruence on FIM(X) generated by  $\tau$ .

Fact2: The monoids  $FIM(X)/\tau^*$  and the graph expansion M(X, f) of G are isomorphic.

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(X, f)$  be the Cayley graph of  $G = gp\langle X; f \rangle$ .

- $V(\Gamma)$ : = the set of vertices of  $\Gamma(V(\Gamma) = G)$
- $E(\Gamma)$ : = the set of edges of  $\Gamma$

an edge: 
$$(g, x, g(xf))$$
  $g \xrightarrow{x} g(xf)$ 

We define an action of the group G on  $\Gamma$  by

$$t\cdot v=tv$$
 for  $t\in G$  and  $v\in V(\Gamma)$ 

and

$$t \cdot (g, x, g(xf)) = (tg, x, tg(xf)), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$g \xrightarrow{x} \\ g(xf) \\ g(x$$

Image: A matrix of the second seco

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(X, f)$  be the Cayley graph of  $G = gp\langle X; f \rangle$ . •  $V(\Gamma)$ : = the set of vertices of  $\Gamma(V(\Gamma) = G)$ 

•  $E(\Gamma)$ : = the set of edges of  $\Gamma$ 

an edge: 
$$(g, x, g(xf))$$
  $g \xrightarrow{x} g(xf)$ 

We define an action of the group G on  $\Gamma$  by

 $t \cdot v = t v$  for  $t \in G$  and  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ 

and

$$t \cdot (g, x, g(xf)) = (tg, x, tg(xf)), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$g \xrightarrow{x} \\ g(xf) \\ g(x$$

Image: A matrix of the second seco

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(X, f)$  be the Cayley graph of  $G = gp\langle X; f \rangle$ .

- V(Γ): = the set of vertices of Γ (V(Γ) = G)
- E(Γ): = the set of edges of Γ

an edge: 
$$(g, x, g(xf))$$
  $\overset{\bullet}{g} \xrightarrow{X} \overset{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\bullet}{g(xf)}$ 

We define an action of the group G on  $\Gamma$  by

$$t \cdot v = tv$$
 for  $t \in G$  and  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ 

and

$$t \cdot (g, x, g(xf)) = (tg, x, tg(xf)), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$g \xrightarrow{x} g(xf) \text{ becomes } g \xrightarrow{x} tg \xrightarrow{x} tg(xf)$$

- ∢ /⊐ ) - ∢

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(X, f)$  be the Cayley graph of  $G = gp\langle X; f \rangle$ .

- V(Γ): = the set of vertices of Γ (V(Γ) = G)
- E(Γ): = the set of edges of Γ

an edge: 
$$(g, x, g(xf))$$
  $\overset{\bullet}{g} \xrightarrow{X} \overset{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\bullet}{g(xf)}$ 

We define an action of the group G on  $\Gamma$  by

$$t \cdot v = tv$$
 for  $t \in G$  and  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ 

and

$$t \cdot (g, x, g(xf)) = (tg, x, tg(xf)), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\stackrel{\bullet}{g} \xrightarrow{x} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} g(xf) \text{ becomes } \stackrel{\bullet}{tg} \xrightarrow{x} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} tg(xf)$$

The graph expansion of  $G = gp\langle X; f \rangle$  is defined by

 $M(X, f) = \{(\Delta, g) : \Delta \text{ is a finite connected subgraph of } \Gamma$ containing 1 and g as vertices}

with binary operation

$$(\Delta,g)(\Sigma,h) = (\Delta \cup g \cdot \Sigma, gh).$$

Fact: The graph expansion M(X, f) of G is an X-generated proper inverse monoid with the maximal group image G.

The graph expansion of  $G = gp\langle X; f \rangle$  is defined by

 $M(X, f) = \{(\Delta, g) : \Delta \text{ is a finite connected subgraph of } \Gamma$ containing 1 and g as vertices}

with binary operation

$$(\Delta,g)(\Sigma,h) = (\Delta \cup g \cdot \Sigma, gh).$$

Fact: The graph expansion M(X, f) of G is an X-generated proper inverse monoid with the maximal group image G.

- V. Gould, Graph expansions of right cancellative monoids (1996)
- G.M.S. Gomes and V. Gould, Graph expansions of unipotent monoids (2000)
- V. Gould, Right cancellative and left ample monoids: Quasivarieties and proper covers (2000)
- C. Cornock, Proper left restriction monoids (2011)

#### Gomes and Gould:

 $\begin{array}{l} X{:=} \text{ a non-empty set} \\ E_X{:=} \text{ a semilattice constructed from } X \\ X^* \text{ acts on } E_X \text{ via order-preserving maps} \\ X^* * E_X{:=} \text{ the free semigroup product of } X^* \text{ and } E_X \\ \mathcal{P}(X^* * E_X)/ \sim := \text{ the free left Ehresmann monoid } FLE(X) \end{array}$ 

#### Kambites

A free left Ehresmann monoid on a given set is a collection of (isomorphism types of) edge-labelled directed trees under a natural combinatorial multiplication.

#### Gomes and Gould:

 $\begin{array}{l} X{:=} \text{ a non-empty set} \\ E_X{:=} \text{ a semilattice constructed from } X \\ X^* \text{ acts on } E_X \text{ via order-preserving maps} \\ X^* * E_X{:=} \text{ the free semigroup product of } X^* \text{ and } E_X \\ \mathcal{P}(X^* * E_X)/ \sim := \text{ the free left Ehresmann monoid } FLE(X) \end{array}$ 

#### Kambites

A free left Ehresmann monoid on a given set is a collection of (isomorphism types of) edge-labelled directed trees under a natural combinatorial multiplication.

Let *M* be a left Ehresmann monoid with  $M = \langle T \cup E \rangle_{(2,1,0)}$  and *T* a submonoid. We say that *M* is *strongly T*-*proper* if for any  $u, v \in T$ ,

$$u \sigma_E v \Rightarrow u^{\dagger}v = v^{\dagger}u.$$

Let (X, f) be a presentation of a fixed monoid S. Then we form a category **SPLE**(X, f, S). The objects of **SPLE**(X, f, S) are pairs (g, M) such that  $\langle Xg \rangle = M$  and



commutes, where M is a strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoid.

Let *M* be a left Ehresmann monoid with  $M = \langle T \cup E \rangle_{(2,1,0)}$  and *T* a submonoid. We say that *M* is *strongly T*-*proper* if for any  $u, v \in T$ ,

$$u \sigma_E v \Rightarrow u^{\dagger}v = v^{\dagger}u.$$

Let (X, f) be a presentation of a fixed monoid S. Then we form a category SPLE(X, f, S). The objects of SPLE(X, f, S) are pairs (g, M) such that  $\langle Xg \rangle = M$  and



commutes, where M is a strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoid.

Let *M* be a left Ehresmann monoid with  $M = \langle T \cup E \rangle_{(2,1,0)}$  and *T* a submonoid. We say that *M* is *strongly T*-*proper* if for any  $u, v \in T$ ,

$$u \sigma_E v \Rightarrow u^{\dagger}v = v^{\dagger}u.$$

Let (X, f) be a presentation of a fixed monoid S. Then we form a category **SPLE**(X, f, S). The objects of **SPLE**(X, f, S) are pairs (g, M) such that  $\langle Xg \rangle = M$  and



commutes, where M is a strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoid.

Let *M* be a left Ehresmann monoid with  $M = \langle T \cup E \rangle_{(2,1,0)}$  and *T* a submonoid. We say that *M* is *strongly T*-*proper* if for any  $u, v \in T$ ,

$$u \sigma_E v \Rightarrow u^{\dagger}v = v^{\dagger}u.$$

Let (X, f) be a presentation of a fixed monoid S. Then we form a category **SPLE**(X, f, S). The objects of **SPLE**(X, f, S) are pairs (g, M) such that  $\langle Xg \rangle = M$  and



commutes, where M is a strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoid.

A morphism in **SPLE**(X, f, S) from (g, M) to (h, N) is a morphism  $\theta : M \to N$  such that the diagram



#### commutes.

The category **SPLE**(X, f, S) is called the *category of* X-generated strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoids with maximum monoid image<sub>3</sub>S.

(Yanhui Wang)

Strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoids

22nd February 2012

10 / 35

A morphism in **SPLE**(X, f, S) from (g, M) to (h, N) is a morphism  $\theta: M \to N$  such that the diagram



commutes.

The category **SPLE**(X, f, S) is called the *category of X-generated strongly T-proper left Ehresmann monoids with maximum monoid image* S.

Fact3: Let (X, f, S) be a presentation of a monoid S. Then  $FLE(X)/\rho^*$  is the initial object in **SPLE**(X, f, S), where

$$\rho = \{u^{\dagger}v = v^{\dagger}u, \text{ whenever } u, v \in X^* \text{ and } uf = vf \text{ in } S\}$$

and  $\rho^*$  is the congruence on FLE(X) generated by  $\rho$ .

**Problem**: Show how to directly solve the word problem for  $FLE(X)/\rho^*$ .

Fact3: Let (X, f, S) be a presentation of a monoid S. Then  $FLE(X)/\rho^*$  is the initial object in **SPLE**(X, f, S), where

$$\rho = \{u^{\dagger}v = v^{\dagger}u, \text{ whenever } u, v \in X^* \text{ and } uf = vf \text{ in } S\}$$

and  $\rho^*$  is the congruence on FLE(X) generated by  $\rho$ .

Problem: Show how to directly solve the word problem for  $FLE(X)/\rho^*$ .

#### Edge-labelled Directed Trees





#### 1-rooted c-graphs



#### 1-rooted c-graphs





Suppose that (a)f = (ab)f





Rule 1: a linear path starting with a branching vertex Suppose that (a)f = (ab)f.



Rule 2: two linear paths starting with the same branching vertex • $({q}, {})$ •  $(\{i\}, \{B\})$ ●({h}, {})





Rule 2: two linear paths starting with the same branching vertex Suppose that (a)f = (ab)f and (c)f = (d)f.



## Reducing a Pinched Graph



Examples of allowed retractions:

Case I: Circles

## Reducing a Pinched Graph



Examples of allowed retractions:

Case I: Circles

18 / 35

Case I: Circles



#### Case II: Parallel paths I (no tails)

Case I: Circles



#### Case II: Parallel paths I (no tails)



Case III: Parallel paths II (containing tails)

(Yanhui Wang)

20 / 35



Case III: Parallel paths II (containing tails)



Case IV: Tails



Case IV: Tails



22 / 35

#### Reducing a Pinched Graph: Step 2-coloring

Let  $\Sigma$  be a pinched 1-rooted *c*-graph.

If there exists an A-subgraph of  $\Sigma$ , which is a linear path and a tail of a B-subgraph of  $\Sigma$ , then we color the A-subgraph in B and denote it by  $\mathbf{d}(\Sigma)$ .



#### Reducing a Pinched Graph: Step 2-coloring

Let  $\Sigma$  be a pinched 1-rooted *c*-graph.

If there exists an A-subgraph of  $\Sigma$ , which is a linear path and a tail of a B-subgraph of  $\Sigma$ , then we color the A-subgraph in B and denote it by  $\mathbf{d}(\Sigma)$ .



23 / 35

#### Reducing a Pinched Graph: Step 2-coloring

Let  $\Sigma$  be a pinched 1-rooted *c*-graph.

If there exists an A-subgraph of  $\Sigma$ , which is a linear path and a tail of a B-subgraph of  $\Sigma$ , then we color the A-subgraph in B and denote it by  $\mathbf{d}(\Sigma)$ .



24 / 35



Suppose that (e)f = (ed)f.



Suppose that (e)f = (ed)f.

25 / 35

 $pd(\Sigma) :=$  the pinched graph of  $d(\Sigma)$ . Suppose that (e)f = (ed)f.



A pinched 1-rooted *c*-graph  $\Sigma$  is reduced if there is no any non-trivial retract of  $\Sigma$  and  $pd(\Sigma) = \Sigma$ .

 $pd(\Sigma) :=$  the pinched graph of  $d(\Sigma)$ . Suppose that (e)f = (ed)f.



A pinched 1-rooted *c*-graph  $\Sigma$  is reduced if there is no any non-trivial retract of  $\Sigma$  and  $pd(\Sigma) = \Sigma$ .

22nd February 2012

#### A Unique Reduced Pinched Graph in Each $\rho^*$ -class

Lemma 1 Let P, Q be 1-rooted *c*-graphs and U, V be linear 1-rooted *c*-graphs satisfying that Uf = Vf. If  $\Sigma = P \times U^{\dagger} \times V \times Q$  and  $\Gamma = P \times V^{\dagger} \times U \times Q$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ .

Lemma 2 If  $\Sigma$  is an 1-rooted *c*-graph and  $\Gamma$  is a retract of  $\Sigma$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ .

Theorem 1 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs with  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ . Remark:  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$  if and only if there exists a sequence

$$\Sigma = P_1 U_1^{\dagger} V_1 Q_1 \quad P_2 V_2^{\dagger} U_2 Q_2 = \dots = P_n V^{\dagger} U_n Q_n$$
$$P_1 V_1^{\dagger} U_1 Q_1 = P_2 U_2^{\dagger} V_2 Q_2 \quad \dots \quad P_n U_n^{\dagger} V_n Q_n = \Gamma$$

where  $P_i$ ,  $Q_i$  are 1-rooted c-graphs and  $U_i$ ,  $V_i$  are linear 1-rooted c-graphs satisfying that  $U_i f = V_i f$ .

27 / 35

#### A Unique Reduced Pinched Graph in Each $\rho^*$ -class

Lemma 1 Let P, Q be 1-rooted *c*-graphs and U, V be linear 1-rooted *c*-graphs satisfying that Uf = Vf. If  $\Sigma = P \times U^{\dagger} \times V \times Q$  and  $\Gamma = P \times V^{\dagger} \times U \times Q$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ .

Lemma 2 If  $\Sigma$  is an 1-rooted *c*-graph and  $\Gamma$  is a retract of  $\Sigma$ , then  $rp(\Sigma) = rp(\Gamma)$ .

Theorem 1 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs with  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ . Remark:  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$  if and only if there exists a sequence

$$\Sigma = P_1 U_1^{\dagger} V_1 Q_1 \quad P_2 V_2^{\dagger} U_2 Q_2 = \dots = P_n V^{\dagger} U_n Q_n$$
$$P_1 V_1^{\dagger} U_1 Q_1 = P_2 U_2^{\dagger} V_2 Q_2 \quad \dots \quad P_n U_n^{\dagger} V_n Q_n = \Gamma$$

where  $P_i$ ,  $Q_i$  are 1-rooted c-graphs and  $U_i$ ,  $V_i$  are linear 1-rooted c-graphs satisfying that  $U_i f = V_i f$ .

27 / 35

#### A Unique Reduced Pinched Graph in Each $\rho^*$ -class

Lemma 1 Let P, Q be 1-rooted *c*-graphs and U, V be linear 1-rooted *c*-graphs satisfying that Uf = Vf. If  $\Sigma = P \times U^{\dagger} \times V \times Q$  and  $\Gamma = P \times V^{\dagger} \times U \times Q$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ .

Lemma 2 If  $\Sigma$  is an 1-rooted *c*-graph and  $\Gamma$  is a retract of  $\Sigma$ , then  $rp(\Sigma) = rp(\Gamma)$ .

Theorem 1 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs with  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ . Remark:  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$  if and only if there exists a sequence

$$\Sigma = P_1 U_1^{\dagger} V_1 Q_1 \quad P_2 V_2^{\dagger} U_2 Q_2 = \dots = P_n V^{\dagger} U_n Q_n$$
$$P_1 V_1^{\dagger} U_1 Q_1 = P_2 U_2^{\dagger} V_2 Q_2 \quad \dots \quad P_n U_n^{\dagger} V_n Q_n = \Gamma$$

where  $P_i$ ,  $Q_i$  are 1-rooted c-graphs and  $U_i$ ,  $V_i$  are linear 1-rooted c-graphs satisfying that  $U_i f = V_i f$ .







Step 2:





Step 3:





Theorem 2 Let  $\Gamma$  be a pinched graph, and let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be unpinching graphs of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma_1 \ \rho^* \ \Gamma_2$ .

#### outline of the proof

• For each *c*-subgraph *K* of  $\Gamma$ , any two unpinching graphs of *K* are  $\rho^*$ -related.

• For any connected *c*-subgraphs  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  of  $\Gamma$ , if  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are unpinching graphs of  $K_1$ , and  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are unpinching graphs of  $K_2$ , then  $W_1U_1 \rho^* W_2U_2$ .

**Proposition 1** Let  $\Sigma$  be a 1-rooted c-graph. Then  $\Sigma \rho^*$  unp $(\Sigma)$ .

Theorem 2 Let  $\Gamma$  be a pinched graph, and let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be unpinching graphs of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma_1 \ \rho^* \ \Gamma_2$ .

#### outline of the proof

• For each *c*-subgraph *K* of  $\Gamma$ , any two unpinching graphs of *K* are  $\rho^*$ -related.

• For any connected *c*-subgraphs  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  of  $\Gamma$ , if  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are unpinching graphs of  $K_1$ , and  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are unpinching graphs of  $K_2$ , then  $W_1U_1 \rho^* W_2U_2$ .

Proposition 1 Let  $\Sigma$  be a 1-rooted c-graph. Then  $\Sigma \rho^* \operatorname{unp}(\Sigma)$ .

# Theorem 3 If $\Sigma$ and $\Gamma$ are 1-rooted c-graphs with $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ , then $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 1** If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs with  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ , then  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem 4** If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs, then  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$  if and only if  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ .

- Theorem 3 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted c-graphs with  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ .
- Theorem 1 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs with  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ , then  $rp(\Sigma) = rp(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem 4** If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs, then  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$  if and only if  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ .

- Theorem 3 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted c-graphs with  $\mathbf{rp}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{rp}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ .
- Theorem 1 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs with  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$ , then  $rp(\Sigma) = rp(\Gamma)$ .
- Theorem 4 If  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are 1-rooted *c*-graphs, then  $\Sigma \rho^* \Gamma$  if and only if  $rp(\Sigma) = rp(\Gamma)$ .